


Empowering Our Community Through Comprehensive Strategies

# 2020 DATA REPORT



A collaborative strategic community planning initiative for a vibrant, safe, and healthy Siouxland.



### 2020 Data Report

- A New Planning Partnership: Source For Siouxland and Growing Community Connections
- Source For Siouxland General Information Visual
- Comprehensive Strategy (Now, Source For Siouxland): A Brief Historical Overview
- October 2020 Data Report: Five Focus Areas and Highlights
  - Economic Stability
  - Education
  - o Health
  - Safety
  - Quality of Life
  - o COVID-19
- Leadership Team & Funding Partners
- Community Partners

Contact: <a href="mailto:sourceforsiouxland@gmail.com">sourceforsiouxland@gmail.com</a>

#### Website: sourceforsiouxland.com

- Annual Data Report 2020 & Previous Years
- Expanded Data Sources for All Five Data Areas
- Community Resources and Community Partners
- Updated Tri-State COVID-19 data

#### A New Planning Partnership:

#### Source For Siouxland and Growing Community Connections

#### Background

**Source For Siouxland (SFS)** is a collaborative, community planning initiative promoting and measuring across the lifespan to ensure a vibrant, productive, educated, empowered, safe, and healthy Siouxland. SFS collects community data to share with the community to make informed, data-driven decisions and improve community outcomes.

**Growing Community Connections (GCC)** is a tri-state Siouxland collaborative that strives to build relationships and unite partners. GCC's purpose is to improve the quality of life for our children, youth, families, and community members.

**Growing Community Connections and Source For Siouxland** have formed a partnership to improve community outcomes through the coordination of community partners and utilization of data.

#### **Planning Process**

Through community-wide planning and the development of effective partnerships, our community will create the conditions that will improve the well-being of our tri-state area residents and communities. By collecting and analyzing community data, determining strengths and gaps, utilizing focus groups to review the data, and developing planning teams, our community will create a comprehensive, strategic approach to improving our community data.

Community Planning/Collective Impact will be achieved through the following steps:

- Step I
  - SFS collects, organizes, and seeks input from local/state/national experts on community data in the focus ("bucket") areas of: Economic Stability, Education, Health, Safety, and Quality of Life
  - At an annual GCC meeting, SFS coordinates a yearly review of community data and gathers input/anecdotal data from community partners
  - GCC and SFS compile the information from the focus area conversations to begin the process of data-driven identification of indicators, community priorities, and goals

#### Step II

- Five times per year, SFS highlights community data at monthly GCC meetings to promote data-based planning
- GCC supports the five focus areas through connection times/Table Talks to solicit community input and reach consensus on priority areas of concern based on data
- GCC and SFS coordinate planning sessions to identify strategies to positively impact the selected goals
- GCC and SFS identify community partners to align efforts to work toward a common vision
- Community partners identify and review existing organizational plans that relate to the five focus areas of Economic Stability, Education, Health, Safety, and Quality of Life

#### Step III

- GCC and SFS, along with community partners, identify and implement strategies to improve the data (Collective Impact)
- Together, identify resources for addressing the identified community issue(s)

#### **Community Outcomes**

- ECONOMIC STABILITY: Siouxland's workforce is fully employed in jobs that match their education and experience. Incomes allow families and individuals to be self-sufficient.
- EDUCATION: Educational partners work closely with the business community to ensure tomorrow's workforce is prepared for tomorrow's economy. Barriers to children learning are removed.
- HEALTH: All community members have access to healthcare and understand how to impact their personal well being.
- QUALITY OF LIFE: Residents feel welcome, connected, and able to thrive in Siouxland. Access to healthy foods, parks and recreation, and arts and culture continually improve.
- SAFETY: The Siouxland Community is a safe place for all residents to live, work, and raise children.



#### WHAT IS SOURCE FOR SIOUXLAND?

Source For Siouxland is a collaborative, strategic community planning initiative for a vibrant, safe, and healthy Siouxland. The initiative developed out of Comprehensive Strategy, which impacted positive youth development through data sharing, early literacy activities, and coordination of community partners since 1996.

#### WHY IS COMMUNITY PLANNING IMPORTANT?

Communities that succeed are communities that plan. Planning leads to increased resources: funding, in-kind support, and enhanced donor engagement, and supports economic development, healthier communities, a higher quality of life, and greater safety.

#### WHAT DOES SOURCE FOR SIOUXLAND DO?


Source measures the Education, Health, Safety, Economic Stability, and Quality of Life of Siouxland in order to align efforts and identify and implement strategies to build a stronger and more engaged community. These efforts will improve the data measured.

#### **HOW CAN YOU MAKE AN IMPACT?**

**Collaborate.** Contact Erica DeLeon at <a href="mailto:Erica@SourceForSiouxland.com">Erica@SourceForSiouxland.com</a> to partner with us.

**Share.** Share the data, share the vision, and share ideas you have to get us there. View the latest data reports and collective impact initiatives at <a href="https://www.SourceForSiouxland.com">www.SourceForSiouxland.com</a>.

**Invest.** Your tax-deductible contribution to Source For Siouxland is an investment in the community's ability to do *more* and do *better* for our community.



#### **Education**

Educational partners work closely with the business community to ensure tomorrow's workforce is prepared for tomorrow's economy.

Barriers to children learning are removed.

#### Health

All community
members have
access to
healthcare and
understand how to
impact their
personal wellbeing.

#### Safety

The Siouxland Community is a safe place for all residents to live, work, and raise children.

#### **Economic Stability**

Siouxland's workforce is fully employed in jobs that match their education and experience. Incomes allow families and

individuals to be

self-sufficient.

#### **Quality of Life**

Residents feel

welcome, connected, and able to thrive in Siouxland.
Access to healthy foods, parks and recreation, and arts and culture continually improve.

### **Comprehensive Strategy – A Brief Historical Overview**

April 1996 – Coordinated Caring Communities 3-day training event sponsored by the Sioux City Schools, Western Hills Area Education Agency, Woodbury County Board of Supervisors, Siouxland CARES/Gang Prevention Task Force, and Woodbury County Decategorization Project with 75 community leaders in attendance, using Communities That Care Prevention Model to promote positive youth development by addressing Youth Problem Behaviors: School Dropout, Violence, Substance Abuse, Teen Pregnancy, and Delinquency. (Planning Team later known as Comprehensive Strategy Council).

1997 – Technical Assistance Grant from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) to add the full continuum from prevention to graduated sanctions for positive youth development.

1998 – Community focus groups prioritized five out of the nineteen Risk Factors for youth in Woodbury County: Early Initiation of Problem Behavior, Academic Failure Beginning in Elementary School, Family History of the Problem Behavior and Family Conflict, Extreme Economic Deprivation, Availability of Drugs.

March 1999 – Comprehensive Strategy 5-Year Plan for Woodbury County Progress Report prepared, including 5-year target outcomes for reduction of Priority Risk Factors and 5,10,15-year Target Outcomes for Problem Behaviors.

August 1999 – Siouxland CARES designated to house aggregate data used for Comprehensive Strategy risk factor analysis because CARES maintained Tri-State area database of economic, health, crime and risk factor data since 1996.

September 1999 – Greater Sioux City Metro Youth Survey taken for first time by area students and results on CARES website.

2000 – SHIP adopted Comprehensive Strategy Planning Process as planning model for Woodbury County and used it as foundation for several grants submitted on behalf of SHIP.

April, July, October 2001 – First quarterly community meeting held at Briar Cliff University in April. Focus groups looked at data trends, community outcomes/strategies, Progress Report review.

December 2001 – Annual Progress Report 2001, including history of planning, community outcome/goal statements, effective approaches, and data update.

July 2002 – Designed and implemented a community resource survey to collect information about programs and services that support our community strategies.

April 2004 – Series of focus groups held to analyze gaps in support of youth and families.

2005 – Putting the Pieces Together PowerPoint produced as a guide for funders, grant writers, program planners, and policy makers.

May 2006 – Initiated Statement of Understanding to formalize planning partner support for positive youth development.

2001 – 2019 – Annual Data Report documents presented at community meetings.

2018 – 2019 – Launched initiative to broaden/advance Comprehensive Strategy community planning to higher level.

2020 – Formalization of partnership between Source For Siouxland (SFS) and Growing Community Connections (GCC) to improve community outcomes through the coordination of community partners and utilization of data. Weekly updates on Tri-State COVID-19 data posted on SFS and GCC websites.

#### Sampling of Community Meeting Topics: 2002 – 2018

- October 2002: Research/Science Based Programming What does it mean to us?
- November 2004: What are our primary gaps in service related to positive youth development in Siouxland?
- July 2005: What can we do, as a community, to address our gaps in community consensus and coordination?
- July 2006: Education Focus Research and Performance-Based Planning
- October 2006: When Prevention is Not Enough Spotlight on Current Intervention and Transition Programming
- February 2007: Brain Research and Programming
- July 2007: Systems Analysis: The "Social Process Triangle" Model Culture, Organization, Resources
- July 2008: A Tri-State Approach to Positive Youth Development: "A River Runs Through Us"
- March 2012: Introducing Environmental Strategies
- February 2013: An Alternative Approach to Economic Deprivation in Siouxland: Exploring the Individual Account Model
- May 2014: Poverty Simulation
- March 2015: Transportation and Mobility Issues
- June 2015: Mental and Behavioral Health Issues in Siouxland
- July 2016: Data Showcase, Early Literacy Highlighted
- May 2018: Research on Early Language and Literacy Development for Children with Disabilities or Those Considered at Risk for Poor Academic Outcomes, Dr. Constance Beecher, ISU

#### **Community Meetings in Partnership with Healthy Siouxland Initiative**

- October 2008: Impact of Mental Health Issues on Youth
- October 2009: Quality of Life Issues Related to Youth and Families
- September 2010: "When Jurisdictional Boundaries Hand Us Lemons..."
- September 2011: Policy 2.0
- September 2012: Economic Deprivation: A Prescription for Change
- September 2013: Siouxland Poverty Research Study by Dr. Regan Murray, Briar Cliff University
- September 2014: Teen Sexual Health in Siouxland
- September 2015: Collective Impact, Jennifer Scala of Nebraska Children and Families Foundation
- September 2016: Collective Impact Framework: Academic Failure/Early Literacy
- September 2017: Changing Demographics in the State of Iowa, Dr. Mark Grey, ISU
- September 2018: Community Planning Research and 0 to 3: Prime Age to Engage kickoff
- September 2019: An Expanded Scope: Five New Global Data Indicators; Name change to Source For Siouxland (SFS)
- October 2020: Annual Data Showcase presented at October Growing Community Connections (GCC) meeting via Zoom

Siouxland 2019 Demographics										
	Source	Area	2019		Source	Area	2019			
Race & Ethnicity				Population						
Race				Population Estimate	QF	wc	103,107			
% White	QF	wc	86.0%	Population Estimate	QF	DC	20,026			
% Black or African American	QF	WC	4.7%	Population Estimate	QF	UC	15,932			
% Native American/Alaskan Native	QF	wc	2.9%	Population Estimate	QF	USA	328,239,523			
% Asian	QF	wc	2.9%	Population % Change Since 2010	QF	wc	0.9%			
% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	QF	WC	0.5%	Population % Change Since 2010	QF	DC	-4.7%			
% Two or more races	QF	wc	3.0%	Population % Change Since 2010	QF	UC	10.7%			
Ethnicity				Geography						
% Hispanic/Latino	QF	wc	17.4%	Population Per Square Mile	QF	wc	117.1			
% White, Not Hispanic/Latino	QF	wc	71.1%	Population Per Square Mile	QF	DC	79.5			
Race				Population Per Square Mile	QF	UC	31.3			
% White	QF	DC	82.3%	Land Area in Square Miles	QF	wc	872.83			
% Black or African American	QF	DC	6.7%	Land Area in Square Miles	QF	DC	264.26			
% Native American/Alaskan Native	QF	DC	4.1%	Land Area in Square Miles	QF	UC	460.54			
% Asian	QF	DC	3.8%	Population Characteristics						
% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	QF	DC	0.6%	Veterans	QF	wc	5,614			
% Two or more races	QF	DC	2.5%	Veterans	QF	DC	952			
Ethnicity				Veterans	QF	UC	1,004			
% Hispanic/Latino	QF	DC	39.3%	Foreign Born Persons	QF	wc	8.4%			
% White, Not Hispanic/Latino	QF	DC	46.3%	Foreign Born Persons	QF	DC	24.1%			
Race				Foreign Born Persons	QF	UC	2.6%			
% White	QF	UC	94.3%	Households	QF	WC	38,975			
% Black or African American	QF	UC	1.5%	Households	QF	DC	7,456			
% Native American/Alaskan Native	QF	UC	0.9%	Households	QF	UC	6,463			
% Asian	QF	UC	1.5%	Persons Per Household	QF	WC	2.6			
% Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	QF	UC	0.1%	Persons Per Household	QF	DC	2.7			
% Two or more races	QF	UC	1.7%	Persons Per Household	QF	UC	2.3			
Ethnicity				Language Other Than English Spoken at Home	QF	WC	15.8%			
% Hispanic/Latino	QF	UC	3.7%	Language Other Than English Spoken at Home	QF	DC	39.6%			
% White, Not Hispanic/Latino	QF	UC	91.0%	Language Other Than English Spoken at Home	QF	UC	3.0%			

			EC	ONOMI	C STABI	LITY							
	Source	Area	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Unemployment													
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	WC	6.6%	5.8%	5.5%	5.2%	4.9%	4.2%	3.8%	3.3%	3.1%	3.2%	3.5%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	IA	6.1%	5.6%	4.9%	5.2%	4.4%	3.8%	3.8%	3.1%	2.8%	3.2%	3.6%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	DC	6.4%	6.5%	6.3%	5.5%	4.6%	4.0%	4.1%	5.1%	4.3%	4.7%	5.6%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	NE	5.2%	4.8%	4.3%	4.0%	3.9%	3.1%	3.2%	3.0%	3.1%	3.4%	4.2%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	UC	6.5%	6.2%	5.4%	4.6%	3.9%	3.9%	3.3%	3.5%	3.6%	3.4%	3.2%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	SD	5.9%	5.8%	4.9%	4.4%	3.5%	3.6%	3.2%	3.5%	3.4%	3.6%	3.4%
Percent unemployment (March)	BLS	USA					6.6%	5.5%	5.0%	4.5%	4.1%	3.8%	4.4%
Unemployment Rate, average annual	ERS	DC	7.3%	5.9%	5.8%	4.7%	3.7%	3.5%	4.2%	4.1%	3.5%	3.9%	NYA
Unemployment Rate, average annual	ERS	NE	4.6%	4.4%	4.0%	3.8%	3.3%	3.0%	3.1%	2.9%	2.8%	3.0%	NYA
Poverty													
All ages in poverty	QF	WC	14.7%	14.0%	16.7%	17.0%	16.5%	15.5%	14.0%	13.3%	13.4%	13.4%	13.8%
All ages in poverty	QF	IA	11.8%	11.6%	12.7%	12.7%	12.7%	12.6%	12.2%	11.7%	10.8%	10.7%	11.2%
All ages in poverty	QF	DC	14.6%	15.5%	12.9%	12.9%	14.4%	14.8%	14.6%	11.4%	12.8%	12.7%	12.4%
All ages in poverty	QF	NE	12.2%	11.8%	12.9%	17.5%	12.9%	12.3%	12.2%	12.7%	10.7%	10.8%	11.0%
All ages in poverty	QF	UC											5.9%
All ages in poverty	QF	SD											13.1%
All ages in poverty	QF	USA						15.6%	14.7%	14.0%	13.4%	12.3%	11.8%
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Children in Poverty													
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	WC	22.2%	21.5%	23.9%	21.9%	22.7%	22.2%	19.6%	18.5%	16.1%	19.8%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	IA	15.6%	16.2%	17.1%	15.9%	16.0%	16.1%	14.9%	14.6%	12.6%	13.5%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	DC	20.5%	23.6%	19.7%	22.3%	22.3%	22.1%	20.7%	17.7%	18.9%	18.0%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	NE	15.4%	18.0%	17.6%	17.4%	17.1%	16.0%	15.9%	13.9%	13.7%	12.9%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	UC										6.2%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	SD										16.1%	NYA
Under age 18 in poverty	SAIPE	USA	20.0%	22.0%	23.0%	23.0%	22.0%	21.9%	20.7%	19.5%	18.4%	18.0%	NYA
	Source	Area	2018	2019									
Access to Jobs													
Total Employment (% Change)	QF	WC	0.3%	5.6%									
Total Employment (% Change)	QF	DC	1.3%	0.1%									
Total Employment (% Change)	QF	UC	-1.5%	-6.6%									
Total Employment (% Change)	QF	USA	2.1%	1.8%									
Note: In the total employment data, the 2018 of	data references ti	he data ch	anae hetwi	on 2015-2	016 The 2	010 releas	a numhars	refer to the 3	0017-2018 r	percent cho	nae		

Note: In the total employment data, the 2018 data references the data change between 2015-2016. The 2019 release numbers refer to the 2017-2018 percent change.

	Source	Area	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Housing													
Home Ownership	CHR	wc										67%	66%
Home Ownership	CHR	DC										66%	66%
Home Ownership	CHR	UC										73%	71%
Note: Home ownership refers to the percentage of o	ccupied hou	ising units	that are o	wned by th	e occupan	ts.						<u> </u>	
Severe Housing Cost Burden	CHR	WC										10%	9%
Severe Housing Cost Burden	CHR	DC										9%	9%
Severe Housing Cost Burden	CHR	UC										7%	6%
Note: Severe Housing Cost Burden refers to the percentage of households spending more than half their income on housing (utilizing ACS data from 2013-2017).													
Source Area 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019											2019		
Households Paying > 50% of Income for Housing	SCHA	SC											21%
Housing Units Constructed	SCHA	SC	66	76	72	99	163	88	205	241	274	79	363
Housing Choice Voucher Applications	SCHA	SC									1,526	4,037	3,996
	Source	Area	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
Food Access													
% Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals	IKC/IDE	SC	53.6%	54.2%	55.3%	57.7%	62.4%	66.2%	67.8%	63.1%	58.2%	61.1%	59.0%
% Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals	IKC	WC	43.6%	49.5%	48.6%	49.5%	51.5%	55.3%	58.5%	59.5%	55.8%	52.0%	NYA
% Students Eligible For Free/Reduced Meals	IKC/IDE	IA	34.1%	36.8%	38.2%	39.4%	40.3%	41.1%	41.0%	41.8%	41.3%	40.5%	42.4%
% Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals	NDE	SSC	63.2%	67.6%	68.3%	72.2%	66.5%	66.3%	64.6%	66.2%	63.5%	68.3%	70.0%
% Students Eligible for Free/Reduced Meals	NKC/NDE	DC	53%	53%	64%	65%	68.9%	69.5%	68.5%	56.5%	60.3%	66.6%	67.7%
% Students Eligible For Free/Reduced Meals	NDE	NE	41.2%	42.6%	43.8%	44.2%	44.9%	44.2%	44.1%	44.7%	45.83%	45.2%	NYA
% Students Eligible For Free/Reduced Meals	KCSD	DV					10.7%	16.3%	17.3%	16.8%	16.0%	15.3%	NYA
% Students Eligible For Free/Reduced Meals	KCSD	UC					25.0%	24.0%	25.0%	24.0%	21.9%	22.4%	NYA
% Students Eligible For Free/Reduced Meals	KCSD	SD					38.7%	39.3%	38.7%	37.7%	39.1%	38.2%	NYA
Note: Beginning in 2017, certain schools became eligible	for the Comr	nunity Eligi	bility Provis	ion (CEP). C	EP is a non- <sub>l</sub>	oricing mea	l service op	tion for school	s and school	districts in	low-income	areas. CEP	allows the
nation's highest poverty schools to serve breakfast and lu	ınch at no co	st to all eni	rolled stude	nts. Because	e free/reduc	ed lunch ap	plications a	ire no longer re	equired at Cl	EP schools, t	the above d	ata may not	reflect all
students who are eligible for free/reduced lunch.													
	Source	Area	2020										
Food Bank Distribution in Pounds (April)	FBS	FBR	357,260										
Note: The region of food distribution includes: Iowa coun	ties of Wood	bury, Ida, N	Лопопа, Cro	awford, Plyn	nouth, Cher	okee, Sioux,	& Lyon ; ar	nd Nebraska co	ounties of Da	ikota, Thurs	ton, & Burt		
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Child Assistance													
% Children Receiving WIC Services	IKC	WC	40.3%	42.2%	41.6%	40.6%	39.0%	39.9%	38.1%	37.8%	41.5%	39.3%	NYA
% Children Receiving WIC Services	IKC	IA	28.8%	28.2%	26.9%	26.6%	25.5%	24.9%	24.3%	26.5%	26.90%	25.9%	NYA
Families w/ Aid-Dependent Child Assistance	NKC	DC	102	132	126	101	94	88	89	101	81	NYA	NYA
Families w/ Aid-Dependent Child Assistance	NKC	NE	8,621	9,126		7,783	7,213	6,548	6,063	5,710		NYA	NYA
Families Receiving TANF	SDKC	UC		18		20	17	19	14				<6
Families Receiving TANF	SDKC	SD		3,165	3,227	3,217	3,167	3,118	3,000	3,005	3,035	3,001	2,915
Note: TANF refers to the "Temporary Assistance for I	Needy Fami	lies"											

	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Earnings													
Median Household Income	QF	wc	43,820	42,672	43,362	43,663	45,678	46,015	46,720	49,010	49,010	51,350	55,483
Median Household Income	QF	IA	48,065	48,031	49,545	50,997	51,843	52,716	54,843	54,570	54,570	56,570	58,580
Median Household Income	QF	DC	42,192	43,729	47,273	46,582	45,631	50,289	49,093	52,336	52,336	52,401	55,017
Median Household Income	QF	NE	47,470	49,342	50,281	50,766	51,502	52,803	55,073	54,384	54,384	56,675	59,116
Median Household Income	QF	UC											69,569
Median Household Income	QF	SD											56,499
Median Household Income	QF	USA	50,221	50,046	50,502	51,371	54,462	53,657	55,775	55,322	55,322	57,652	60,293
	Source	Area	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Wage Gap													
Income Inequality	CHR	wc						4.3	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.2
Income Inequality	CHR	DC						4.1	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.1	4.0
Income Inequality	CHR	UC						3.7	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.9
Note: Income Inequality refers to the ratio of	f household income	at the 00t	h narcantil	o to incom	at the 20	th narcanti	la				•	•	

Note: Income Inequality refers to the ratio of household income at the 80th percentile to income at the 20th percentile.


	Source	Area	2018	2019	2020				
Cost of Living (July)									
CPI Food and Beverages	BLS	MWR	246.1	247.6	255.65				
CPI Housing	BLS	MWR	231.5	234.2	238.2				
CPI Fuels & Utilities	BLS	MWR	225.8	225.6	224.2				
CPI Apparel	BLS	MWR	113.5	113.8	106.1				
CPI Recreation	BLS	MWR	121.9	121.3	121.5				
CPI Services	BLS	MWR	296.3	298.5	304.5				
CPI Overall Average	BLS	MWR	235.3	238.8	240.4				

Note: CPI stands for Consumer Price Index. The Bureau of Labor Statistics define Consumer Price Index as a measure of the average change over time in the prices paid by urban consumers for a market basket of consumer goods and services. Indexes are available for the U.S. and various geographic areas. The data above reflects the CPI in June for the Midwest Region. (December 1977 CPI=100)


	Source	Area	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020			
Homelessness (PIT Numbers- January)										
Unsheltered People	ICA	SC	9	2	6	7	17			
Sheltered People (Total)	ICA	SC	339	286	258	248	284			
People in Emergency Shelter	ICA	SC	168	171	189	170	220			
People in Transitional Housing	ICA	SC	171	115	69	78	64			
Chronically Homeless People	ICA	SC	17	17	37	21	34			
Point in Time (PIT) Total	ICA	SC	348	288	264	255	301			

Note: Transitional housing programs include Bridges West and Welcome Home. Emergency shelters include CSADV, the Gospel Mission Shelters, Haven House, and the Warming Shelter. "Sheltered People" refers to those in both transitional housing and emergency shelter.


### **Economic Stability Highlights**




	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019
Woodbury	\$43,362	\$45,678	\$46,720	\$49,010	\$55,483
IA	\$49,545	\$51,843	\$54,843	\$54,570	\$58,580
USA	\$50,502	\$54,462	\$55,775	\$55,322	\$60,293



	2019
Union	\$69,569
SD	\$56,499
USA	\$60,293




	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019
Dakota	\$47,273	\$45,631	\$49,093	\$52,336	\$55,017
NE	\$50,281	\$51,502	\$55,073	\$54,384	\$59,116
USA	\$50,502	\$54,462	\$55,775	\$55,322	\$60,293




	2018	2019	2020
CPI	235.3	238.8	240.4

# **Economic Stability Highlights**



	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
PIT Total	348	288	264	255	301




Feb	March	April	May	June	July
240,532	382,549	357,260	321,569	313,833	276,417

			E	DUCATION	ON								
	Source	Area	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20
School Services													
English Language Learners													
% of English Language Learners	IDE	SCCSD						19.40%	17.50%	17.10%	17.30%	18.40%	19.50%
% of English Language Learners	IDE	IA						5.70%	5.70%	5.90%	6.10%	6.50%	6.50%
% of English Language Learners	NDE/SSCCS	SSCCS	22.12%	23.33%	21.80%	18.46%	13.98%	12.15%	13.44%	12.15%	13.98%	18.21%	18.30%
% of English Language Learners	NDE	NE	6.56%	6.72%	6.47%	5.96%	6.04%	6.20%	6.09%	6.20%	6.04%	7.16%	NYA
Special Education and Services													
% of Students Receiving Special Ed Services	IDE	SCCSD										14.20%	13.90%
% of Students Receiving Special Ed Services	IDE	IA										12.67%	12.90%
% of Students Receiving Special Ed Services	NDE/SSCCS	SSCCS	13.30%	14.05%	12.12%	12.58%	14.22%	12.66%	13.17%	12.66%	14.22%	12.57%	13.00%
% of Students Receiving Special Ed Services	NDE	NE	14.87%	14.91%	15.03%	14.60%	15.74%	14.71%	14.71%	14.71%	15.74%	15.48%	NYA
% of Students with Special Needs	KCSD	DV					10.30%	11.00%	11.00%	10.40%	10.10%	9.80%	11.10%
% of Students with Special Needs	KCSD	SD					14.00%	14.20%	14.10%	14.90%	15.40%	15.60%	15.80%
School Mobility													
School Mobility Rate	NDE/SSCCS	ssccs	16.35%	15.76%	13.42%	13.48%	16.58%	15.41%	17.23%	15.41%	16.58%	13.57%	5.00%
School Mobility Rate	NDE	NE	11.89%	12.22%	11.84%	11.35%	12.10%	12.25%	11.24%	12.54%	12.10%	10.32%	NYA
Note: School mobility rate refers to the percentage of students chang	ging schools	during the	e school yed	ır.				•					•
	Source	Area	2008-9	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Graduation Rates													
Graduation Rate	IDE	sc	79.5%	79.2%	84.3%	84.7%	87.1%	85.6%	87.2%	87.5%	83.9%	85.5%	84.5%
Graduation Rate	NDE	SSC	88.2%	93.0%	84.6%	87.7%	85.6%	89.7%	82.8%	88.2%	89.9%	90.0%	92.0%
Graduation Rate	KCSD	DV	94.7%	85.3%	83.1%	85.1%	97.7%	92.9%	91.8%	92.0%	92.0%	94.0%	91.0%
Dropout Rates													
Dropout Rate	IDE	sc	4.0%	6.3%	4.8%	3.3%	3.3%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.5%	2.2%	1.9%
Dropout Rate	NDE	SSC	0.7%	1.5%	1.3%	1.5%	1.1%	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%
Dropout Rate	KCSD	DV	0.7%	1.5%	0.2%	1.9%	1.0%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	1.2%	0.3%	0.7%
Note: The graduation and dropout rates in the 2019 data booklet we	re reported	for the inc	correct scho	ol years. Th	ese errors h	ave been co	rrected. The	newest ava	ailable data	is from 201	8-19.		•
	Source	Area	2000	2017	2018								
Preschool & Kindergarten Readiness													
% 3-4 year old children in preschool	IKC	wc	45.3%	44.7%	39.1%								
% 3-4 year old children in preschool	IKC	IA	41.0%	47.5%	46.7%								
	Source	Area	2010	2014	2017								
% 3-4 year old children in preschool	NKC	DC	19.5%	26.5%	32.4%								
% 3-4 year old children in preschool	NKC	NE	44.7%	45.8%	42.3%								
,	Source	Area	2020										
Number of Registered Early Learning Environments (June)	CCR&R	WC	184										
Capacity of Registered Early Learning Environments (June)	CCR&R	wc	7309										
, , , , ,	CCR&R	wc	296										
Vacancies at Registered Early Learning Environments (June)	CCNOCK			1									
Vacancies at Registered Early Learning Environments (June)		Area	2017	2018	2019								
	Source	Area UC											
Vacancies at Registered Early Learning Environments (June)  Licensed group family child care homes  Registered family child care homes		Area UC UC	<b>2017</b> 2 5	<b>2018</b> 3 6	<b>2019</b> 2 5								


	Source	Area	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20						
Preschool Students Meeting IGDI Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	IA	27.06%	2010-17	2017-10	2010-13	2013-20						
Preschool Students Meeting IGDI Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	NWAEA	18.54%										
Preschool Students Meeting IGDI Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	SCCSD	16.58%	16.49%	11.00%	8.00%	8.90%						
Note: IGDI denotes "Individual Growth and Development Indicators f						0.0070	0.5070						
Kindergarten Students Meeting FAST Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	IA	64.95%		2013.								
Kindergarten Students Meeting FAST Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	NWAEA	57.17%										
Kindergarten Students Meeting FAST Benchmarks (Fall)	SCCSD	SCCSD	45.55%	51.85%	53.34%	37.49%	48.00%						
Note: FAST denotes "Formative Assessment System for Teachers K-6					33.3470	37.4370	40.0070						
Note: 17157 denotes Formative 7155e55ment System for Federicis R o	Source	Area	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	
Subject Proficiency	Jource	Alea	2006-03	2003-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-13	2013-10	2010-17	2017-10	
Grades 3-5 AYP % Proficient													
Math	IDE	SCCSD	69.1%	70.9%	71.4%	76.7%	76.8%	75.6%	73.9%	71.4%	70.5%	69.3%	
	IDE	SCCSD	69.3%	70.9%	69.5%	65.5%	70.8%	69.8%	70.0%	67.9%	69.0%	68.6%	
Reading Grades 6-8 AYP % Proficient	IDE	SCCSD	09.3%	/1.2%	09.5%	05.5%	72.9%	09.8%	70.0%	07.9%	09.0%	08.0%	
Math	IDE	SCCSD	64.3%	65.9%	64.9%	65.2%	61.5%	69.5%	75.4%	74.7%	74.0%	69.6%	
	IDE	SCCSD					61.5%	72.1%	75.4%	74.7%			
Reading Grade 11 AYP % Proficient	IDE	วะเรษ	61.5%	64.3%	63.8%	69.1%	61.4%	72.1%	12.1%	72.0%	71.9%	68.5%	
	IDE	CCCCD	CO F0/	74.00/	74.40/	75 50/	72.40/	76.00/	70.40/	72.20/	72.20/	72.40/	
Math	IDE	SCCSD	68.5%	71.8% 74.8%	74.4% 74.7%	75.5% 84.2%	73.4%	76.8% 77.4%	78.1%	73.3%	72.3%	73.4% 76.3%	
Reading			68.1%				82.4%		78.0%	75.8%	73.2%		
Note: The percent of proficient students is calculated from the Adequ	•				_		, ,		the AYP is r	io ionger re	portea at tn	e Nationai	
or State level. Additionally, the proficiency exam that is administered			<del></del>	new projicie	ncy test for	2018-2019	are inciuaea	below.					
0.111.0	Source	Area	2018-19										
Subject Proficiency			60 700/										
Math Proficiency (Sioux City District Combined Total)	SCCSD	SCCSD	62.72%										
Reading Proficiency (Sioux City District Combined Total)	SCCSD	SCCSD	61.71%										
Note: 2018-2019 is a baseline year for proficiency measurment for So	_												
	Source	Area	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19						
Grade 3 NSCAS % Proficient													
Math	NED	SSCCS				35%	54%						
Language Arts	NED	SSCCS			41%	41%	52%						
Grade 5 NSCAS % Proficient													
Science	NED	SSCCS	66%	65%	66%	64%	64%						
Grade 6 NSCAS % Proficient													
Math	NED	SSCCS				53%	66%						
Language Arts	NED	SSCCS			35%	39%	44%						
Grade 8 NSCAS % Proficient													
Math	NED	SSCCS				59%	52%						
Language Arts	NED	SSCCS			41%	41%	38%						
Science	NED	SSCCS	57%	53%	48%	50%	49%						
	Source	Area	2018-19										
Grades 3,8,11 SD State Assessment Proficient													
Math	SDDE	DV	54%										
Language Arts	SDDE	DV	61%										
Grades 5,8,11 SD State Assessment Proficient													
Science	SDDE	DV	57%										

	Source	Area	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Incidents and Consequences													
All Removals (Suspensions, Expulsions)	IDE	SCCSD	2271	1957	1813	2445	2077	1264	1026	1097	1550	1915	1938
Founded Bullying Incidents	IDE	SCCSD							30	21	46	30	35
Total Number of Suspensions	SSCCS	SSCCS											168
Founded Bullying Incidents	SSCCS	SSCCS											11


# **Education Highlights**




	2018-19	2019-20
Kindergarteners Meeting Benchmarks	37.49%	48.00%



	2000	2017	2018
<b>Woodbury County</b>	45.3%	44.7%	39.1%
lowa	41.0%	47.5%	46.7%




	2018-19	2019-20
Preschoolers Meeting Benchmarks	8.00%	8.90%




	2010	2014	2017
Dakota County	19.5%	26.5%	32.4%
Nebraska	44.7%	45.8%	42.3%

# **Education Highlights**



	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Sioux City	87.1%	85.6%	87.2%	87.5%	83.9%	85.5%	84.5%
South Sioux City	85.6%	89.7%	82.8%	88.2%	89.9%	90.0%	92.0%
Dakota Valley	97.7%	92.9%	91.8%	92.0%	92.0%	94.0%	91.0%



	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19
Sioux City	3.3%	1.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.5%	2.2%	1.9%
South Sioux City	1.1%	0.6%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%
Dakota Valley	1.0%	0.2%	1.1%	0.4%	1.2%	0.3%	0.7%

HEALTH													
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Access to Alcohol and Other Drugs													
Youth Say Difficult to Access Alcohol	SCYS	Tri	36%	37%	40%	39%	*	44%	*	45%	*	54%	65%
Youth Say Difficult to Access Tobacco	SCYS	Tri	47%	46%	50%	50%	*	56%	*	57%	*	62%	72%
Youth Say Difficult to Access Marijuana	SCYS	Tri	60%	61%	63%	62%	*	67%	*	66%	*	67%	76%
Youth Say Difficult to Access Meth	SCYS	Tri	83%	86%	85%	86%	*	87%	*	89%	*	88%	90%
Youth Say Difficult to Access Vape Products	SCYS	Tri											65%
Youth Prevalence of Alcohol and Other Drugs													
30-Day Prevalence-Alcohol													
Used Alcohol-12th	SCYS	Tri	47%	40%	35%	39%	*	31%	*	26%	*	22%	
Used Alcohol-11th	SCYS	Tri											14%
Binge Drinking-12th (>5 drinks)	SCYS	Tri	36%	30%	24%	27%	*	19%	*	15%	*	12%	
Binge Drinking-11th (>5 drinks)	SCYS	Tri											7%
30-Day Prevalence-Used Cigarettes-12th	SCYS	Tri	26%	23%	21%	21%	*	13%	*	9%	*	8%	
30-Day Prevalence-Used Cigarettes-11th	SCYS	Tri											3%
30-Day Prevalence-Used Marijuana-12th	SCYS	Tri	20%	16%	20%	17%	*	12%	*	12%	*	15%	
30-Day Prevalence- Used Marijuana- 11th	SCYS	Tri											10%
Never Used in Past 30-Days													
Never Used Vaping-12th	SCYS	Tri										78%	
Never Used Vaping-11th	SCYS	Tri											89%
12th Graders in Past Year, Ridden w/-Driver Using AOD	SCYS	Tri	41%	32%	32%	32%	*	24%	*	20%	*	22%	
11th Graders in Past Year, Ridden w/-Driver Using AOD	SCYS	Tri											16%
10th Graders in Past Year, Ridden w/-Driver Using AOD	SCYS	Tri	37%	34%	31%	28%	*	22%	*	21%	*	20%	
Youth who believe their parents think it is													
Wrong/Very Wrong for Youth to Drink Alcohol	SCYS	Tri	79%	81%	81%	82%	*	84%	*	82%	*	80%	85%
Wrong/Very Wrong for Youth to Drink Alcohol Regularly	SCYS	Tri	86%	88%	88%	89%	*	90%	*	89%	*	89%	91%
Wrong/Very Wrong for Youth to Smoke Cigarettes	SCYS	Tri	90%	91%	92%	93%	*	94%	*	94%	*	94%	94%
Wrong/Very Wrong for Youth to Vape	SCYS	Tri											92%
Wrong/Very Wrong for Youth to Smoke Marijuana	SCYS	Tri	94%	94%	94%	94%	*	94%	*	93%	*	94%	93%
Youth Been Around Other Teens Using in the Past Year													
Alcohol-10th Graders	SCYS	Tri	61%	54%	49%	47%	*	39%	*	35%	*	30%	
Alcohol-11th Graders	SCYS	Tri											27%
Alcohol-8th Graders	SCYS	Tri	43%	39%	34%	32%	*	31%	*	21%	*	30%	25%
Marijuana-10th Graders	SCYS	Tri	46%	46%	46%	44%	*	38%	*	39%	*	32%	
Marijuana-11th Graders	SCYS	Tri											31%
Marijuana-8th Graders	SCYS	Tri	32%	33%	31%	32%	*	34%	*	23%	*	30%	25%
Methamphetamine-10th Graders	SCYS	Tri	10%	7%	8%	8%	*	7%	*	5%	*	6%	
Methamphetamine-11th Graders	SCYS	Tri											5%
Methamphetamine-8th Graders	SCYS	Tri	7%	6%	6%	7%	*	6%	*	3%	*	5%	6%

Note: Siouxland CARES Youth Survey was conducted every other year, beginning in 2012. Starting in 2019, it moved to the Fall (2019) instead of Spring (2020). Additionally, in 2019 the surveyed youth were 6th, 8th, and 11th graders. Previously, the surveyed youth were 6th, 8th, 10th, and 12th graders.

	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Substance Use Disorders in Homes													
6th, 8th, & 11th graders	IYS	WC	*	21%	*	18%	*	15%	*	17%	*	19%	*
6th, 8th, & 11th graders	IYS	IA	*	17%	*	13%	*	13%	*	14%	*	15%	*
Note: This measure refers to the number of surveye	d children who strongly a	gree with	the statem	ent: "There	are people	living in m	ny home wl	no have ser	ious drug d	or alcohol	problems."		
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Compliance Checks													
Compliance Checks - Tobacco	IABD	WC	248	234	122	121	109	131	140	139	131	131	NYA
Compliance Rate - Tobacco	IABD	WC	93%	91%	91%	95%	99%	95%	90%	86%	84%	NYA	NYA
Compliance Rate - Tobacco	IABD	IA	92%	93%	92%	92%	93%	93%	91%	91%	91%	93%	NYA
Compliance Rate - Tobacco	SCPD	SC					96%	91%	89%	85%	89%	93%	91%
Compliance Checks- Tobacco	SCPD	SC					130	163	101	160	64	122	152
Compliance Rate - Alcohol	SCPD	SC					91%	94%	87%	83%	89%	89%	0%
Compliance Checks - Alcohol	SCPD	SC					174	145	135	139	149	123	1
# Compliance Checks - Alcohol	CARES	DC	18	36	31	28	42	25	31	31	30	30	30
Compliance Rate - Alcohol	CARES	DC	72%	83%	84%	89%	81%	92%	94%	94%	97%	97%	80%
Note: Only one alcohol compliance check was comp	leted in Sioux City in 201	9 and the b	usiness wa	s not comp	oliant.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Newborn Drug Tests													
Number Tested	SL/MO	Tri	449	440	298	238	237	371	361	436	472	452	491
Newborns tested positive for drugs	SL/MO	Tri	10	32	14	10	24	42	86	75	80	65	83
% positive tests (pos tests/number tested)	SL/MO	Tri	2.2%	7.3%	4.7%	4.2%	10.1%	11.3%	23.8%	17.8%	16.9%	14.3%	16.9%
Notes: Positive test breakdown by type of substance	e is available upon reques	st.		•	•			•			•		•
	Source	Area	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Life Expectancy													
Premature Death	CHR	wc	6,656	6,680	6,680	6,653	7,000	6,800	6,700	7,000	7,000		
Premature Death	CHR	IA	6,012	5,971	5,971	5,911	5,900	5,900	5,900	6,200	6,200		
Premature Death	CHR	DC	6,944	5,459	5,459	5,515	5,600	5,800	5,900	6,200	5,600		
Premature Death	CHR	NE	5,466	5,459	5,904	5,792	5,800	5,900	6,000	6,100	6,100		
Premature Death	CHR	UC								4,600	5,200		
Premature Death	CHR	SD								7,300	7,200		
Note: Premature Death is calculated in the followin	g manner: the years of po	otential life	lost in a co	ounty durin	g a three-y	ear period	are summe	ed and divid	ded by the	total popu	lation of th	e county o	during
that same time period. This value is then multiplied	by 100,000 to calculate t	he years o	f potential .	life lost und	der age 75 p	oer 100,000	0 people.						
	Source	Area	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Uninsured													
Uninsured	CHR	wc	14%	15%	14%	13%	13%	11%	8%	7%	8%		
Uninsured	CHR	IA	10%	11%	10%	10%	10%	7%	6%	5%	5%		
Uninsured	CHR	DC	19%	20%	20%	19%	20%	17%	16%	16%	16%		
On the second	CHR	NE	13%	13%	13%	13%	13%	11%	9%	10%	10%		
Uninsured													t — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
Uninsured	CHR	UC								6%	8%		

	Source	Area	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Obesity												
Obese Adults	CHR	wc	31%	31%	31%	32%	34%	34%	35%	35%	35%	
Obese Adults	CHR	IA	29%	29%	29%	30%	31%	31%	32%	32%	33%	
Obese Adults	CHR	DC	35%	35%	34%	34%	34%	36%	36%	38%	39%	
Obese Adults	CHR	NE	29%	29%	29%	29%	30%	30%	31%	31%	32%	
Obese Adults	CHR	UC								32%	30%	
Obese Adults	CHR	SD								31%	32%	
Note: "Obese Adults" refers to the % of the adult population (a	ge 20+) that r	eports a bo	ody mass in	idex (BMI)	greater tha	n or equal	to 30 kg/m	2.				
Adult Smoking												
Percentage of Current Smokers	CHR	wc	25%	24%	23%	23%	20%	17%	17%	17%	17%	
Percentage of Current Smokers	CHR	DC	22%	21%	21%	21%	18%	19%	19%	19%	19%	
Percentage of Current Smokers	CHR	UC	17%	17%	15%	15%	14%	13%	14%	14%	13%	
	Source	Area	2017									
Suicide/ 100,000 Population												
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	wc	12.3									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	IA	14.8									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	DC	N/A									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	NE	12.9									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	UC	N/A									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	SD	19.7									
Age-Adjusted Suicide Death Rate	CDC	USA	13.3									
Note: Dakota County & Union County suicide data is suppresse	d due to low o	ount.										
	Source	Area	2018	2019								
Adverse Childhood Experiences												
Percentage of Children with 2+ ACES	AHR	IA	20.0%	18.6%								
Percentage of Children with 2+ ACES	AHR	NE	19.9%	18.9%								
Percentage of Children with 2+ ACES	AHR	SD	22.0%	20.5%								
Percentage of Children with 2+ ACES	AHR	USA	21.7%	20.5%								
	Source	Area	2020									
Cancer												
Age-Adjusted All Cancer Incidence Rate per 100,000 pop	NIH	wc	498.9									
Age-Adjusted All Cancer Incidence Rate per 100,000 pop	NIH	DC	452.9									
Age-Adjusted All Cancer Incidence Rate per 100,000 pop	NIH	UC	507.1									
Age-Adjusted All Cancer Incidence Rate per 100,000 pop	NIH	USA	448.7									
Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence	NIH	wc	-0.1									
Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence	NIH	DC	0.8									
Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence	NIH	UC	-3.7									
Recent 5-Year Trend in Incidence	NIH	USA	-1									

	Source	Area	2018									
STI Prevalence/100,000 Population												
HIV	ATLAS	wc	128.6									
HIV	ATLAS	IA	106									
HIV	ATLAS	DC	44.2									
HIV	ATLAS	NE	137.3									
HIV	ATLAS	UC	N/A									
HIV	ATLAS	SD	84.5									
HIV	ATLAS	USA	372.8									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	wc	334.9									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	IA	153.8									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	DC	203.1									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	NE	140.4									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	UC	46.6									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	SD	194.2									
Gonorrhea	ATLAS	USA	179.1									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	wc	681.4									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	IA	466.7									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	DC	500.3									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	NE	418									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	UC	226.2									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	SD	510.2									
Chlamydia	ATLAS	USA	539.9									
Note: Union County HIV data suppressed due to low count.												
	Source	Area	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Mental Health												
Mental Health Providers	CHR	wc			914:1	844:1	760:1	580:1	500:1	470:1	450:1	
Mental Health Providers	CHR	IA			1,144:1	995:1	910:1	820:1	760:1	700:1	640:1	
Mental Health Providers	CHR	DC			634:1	455:1	450:1	470:1	490:1	440:1	430:1	
Mental Health Providers	CHR	NE			560:1	435:1	410:1	440:1	420:1	440:1	380:1	
Mental Health Providers	CHR	UC			14,855:1	7,451:1	7,510:1	7450:1	2,990:1	2,500:1	1,950:1	
Mental Health Providers	CHR	SD			871:1	733:1	700:1	660:1	610:1	590:1	550:1	-
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	wc	2.6	2.6	2.5	2.5	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.7	-
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	IA	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.6	-
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	DC	2.8	2.9	3.5	3.5	3	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.2	
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	NE	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8	3	3.2	3.2	3.5	<b> </b>
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	UC	1.8	2	1.7	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6	<b> </b>
Poor Mental Health Days	CHR	SD	2.5	2.6	2.6	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.9	2.9	3.2	 <u> </u>

Note: Poor Mental Health Days measures the average number of mentally unhealthy days reported in past 30 days. The data was calculated with the numerator representing the number of days participants reported having "not so good" mental health, and the denominator representing the total number of respondents in the county.


	Source	Area	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020		
Sleep													
Insufficient Sleep	CHR	wc					32%	32%	28%	28%	28%		1
Insufficient Sleep	CHR	DC					31%	31%	33%	33%	33%		
Insufficient Sleep	CHR	UC					25%	25%	26%	26%	26%	·	i

Note: Insufficient Sleep measures the percentage of adults who report averaging less than 7 hours of sleep per night.


Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
IDPH	wc	129.4	120.5	89.1	97.7	77.3	78.4	57.1	59.9	69.4	64.1	55.4
IDPH	wc	12.9%	12.1%	8.9%	9.8%	7.7%	7.8%	5.7%	6.0%	6.9%	6.4%	5.5%
IDPH	IA	8.7%	7.9%	7.1%	6.6%	5.9%	5.2%	4.1%	4.6%	4.4%	4.2%	3.9%
NKC	DC	13.0%	11.3%	10.2%	9.8%	8.2%	9.0%	9.4%	6.2%	NYA	NYA	NYA
NKC	NE	8.3%	7.6%	6.8%	6.5%	6.0%	5.3%	5.2%	4.6%	NYA	NYA	NYA
SDDOH	UC										1.8	NYA
SDDOH	SD										10.8	NYA
	IDPH IDPH IDPH NKC NKC	IDPH WC IDPH WC IDPH IA NKC DC NKC NE SDDOH UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   IDPH   WC   12.9%   IDPH   IA   8.7%   NKC   DC   13.0%   NKC   NE   8.3%   SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3   78.4     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%   7.8%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%   5.2%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%   9.0%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%   5.3%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3   78.4   57.1     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%   7.8%   5.7%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%   5.2%   4.1%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%   9.0%   9.4%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%   5.3%   5.2%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3   78.4   57.1   59.9     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%   7.8%   5.7%   6.0%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%   5.2%   4.1%   4.6%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%   9.0%   9.4%   6.2%     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%   5.3%   5.2%   4.6%     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3   78.4   57.1   59.9   69.4     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%   7.8%   5.7%   6.0%   6.9%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%   5.2%   4.1%   4.6%   4.4%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%   9.0%   9.4%   6.2%   NYA     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%   5.3%   5.2%   4.6%   NYA     SDDOH   UC	IDPH   WC   129.4   120.5   89.1   97.7   77.3   78.4   57.1   59.9   69.4   64.1     IDPH   WC   12.9%   12.1%   8.9%   9.8%   7.7%   7.8%   5.7%   6.0%   6.9%   6.4%     IDPH   IA   8.7%   7.9%   7.1%   6.6%   5.9%   5.2%   4.1%   4.6%   4.4%   4.2%     NKC   DC   13.0%   11.3%   10.2%   9.8%   8.2%   9.0%   9.4%   6.2%   NYA   NYA     NKC   NE   8.3%   7.6%   6.8%   6.5%   6.0%   5.3%   5.2%   4.6%   NYA   NYA     SDDOH   UC   1.8

Note: Teen birth data for live births <20 in Nebraska is currently only available through 2016. Teen Birth Rate in South Dakota refers to live births per 1,000 age 15-17 females.


### **Health Highlights**




	2017	2018	2019
Sioux City -Tobacco	89%	93%	91%
South Sioux City-Alcohol	97%	97%	80%



Gonorrhea	2018	WC	334.9
Gonorrhea	2018	IA	153.8





Gonorrhea	2018	DC	203.1
Gonorrhea	2018	NE	140.4




Gonorrhea	2018	UC	46.6
Gonorrhea	2018	SD	194.2


### **Health Highlights**









	Mental H	lealth Provi	iders (Popu	lation Per F	rovider)							
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020						
WC	844 760 580 500 470 450											
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020						
DC	455	450	470	490	440	430						
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020						
UC	7,450	7,510	7,450	2,990	2,500	1,950						







		Poor M	ental Healt	h Days		
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
WC	2.5	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.7
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
DC	3.5	3	2.8	3.1	3.1	3.2
	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
UC	1.7	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.6

				SAFETY									
	Source	Area	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Vehicle Safety													
Motor Vehicle Crash Deaths per 100,000 Population	CHR	wc		14	13	11	11	11	11	10	9	10	10
Motor Vehicle Crash Deaths per 100,000 Population	CHR	DC		12	12	11	11	10	12	13	12	13	12
Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths	CHR	wc					37%	39%	26%	27%	26%	22%	20%
Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths	CHR	DC					17%	N/A	17%	23%	18%	18%	23%
Alcohol Impaired Driving Deaths	CHR	UC					27%	21%	N/A	N/A	9%	14%	33%
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Deliquency and Violence- Iowa													
Delinquency Petitions Filed	IDHR	wc	209	170	250	227	204	187	150	187	151	103	103
Juvenile Arrests-Total #	IDHR	wc	1,572	1,491	1,406	1,274	1,096	1,172	1,057	737	1,014	864	853
Simple Assault, # Juvenile Arrests	IDHR	wc	238	297	250	195	218	187	165	159	153	143	178
Juvenile Larcenies-# Juvenile Arrests	IDHR	wc	385	430	421	355	346	286	222	236	291	184	188
Juvenile Arrests-Total #	IDHR	IA	19,144	17,607	16,815	N/A	N/A	N/A	11,905	11,053	18,675	18,129	18,158
Deliquency and Violence- Nebraska													
Juvenile Arrests-Total #	NKC	DC	336	251	312	266	228	155	156	129	96	46	83
Juvenile Arrests-Total #	NKC/NCC	NE	15,195	14,088	13,134	12,207	10,534	10,351	10,198	9,463	9,858	8,988	8,636
Simple Assault, # Juvenile Arrests	NCC	DC	59	39	43	28	27	15	24	19	17	17	14
Juvenile Larcenies-# Juvenile Arrests	NCC	DC	69	51	88	57	65	59	57	40	8	6	6
Adjudicated Youth-Total #	NCC	DC	124	165	199	148	112	103	61	53	64	68	NYA
Liquor Law Violations, <18 years old	NCC	DC	90	54	75	72	26	12	24	5	18	NYA	NYA
DUI <18 years old	NCC	DC	1	7	8	8	1	2	0	1	1	NYA	NYA
Drug Violations <18 years old	NCC	DC	21	22	18	30	16	26	26	14	9	NYA	NYA
Deliquency and Violence- South Dakota													
Youth Offenses Resulting in Adjudicatory Action	KCSD	UC		55	85	68	48	39	41	36	N/A	37	69
Youth Offenses Resulting in Adjudicatory Action	KCSD	SD		6129	5525	4868	4533	4311	4050	4220	5475	4617	4561
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Juvenile Detention													
Juvenile Detentions - Violent Crimes	WCDHR	wc	39.5%	29.5%	30.6%	28.8%	24.0%	24.8%	29.2%	28.8%	26.3%	27.6%	36.8%
Juvenile Detentions - Property Crimes	WCDHR	wc	33.1%	36.3%	38.4%	35.0%	35.3%	29.4%	23.4%	27.2%	38.4%	30.6%	32.5%
Juvenile Detentions - Drugs	WCDHR	wc	33.1%	36.3%	38.4%	35.0%	19.3%	23.5%	25.5%	19.2%	19.2%	24.5%	14.9%
Juvenile Detentions - Public Order	WCDHR	wc	14.5%	14.0%	15.3%	15.6%	17.3%	12.4%	9.5%	6.4%	7.1%	16.0%	15.8%
Juvenile Detentions - Other	WCDHR	wc	3.0%	5.1%	3.6%	4.0%	4.0%	9.8%	12.4%	18.4%	9.1%	1.0%	0.0%
Violent Crime													
Reported Violent Crimes per 100,000 Population	CHR	wc			373	342	351	331	331	326	326	355	355
Reported Violent Crimes per 100,000 Population	CHR	DC			83	109	113	114	114	130	130	234	234
Reported Violent Crimes per 100,000 Population	CHR	UC			68	37	23	34	34	100	100	146	146

	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Quantity of Drugs Seized-grams:													
Cocaine/Crack	TSDTF	Tri	356.0	389.0	433.0	102.0	107.0	78.0	0.0	1,026.6	18,593.2	51.0	1,362.1
Methamphetamine	TSDTF	Tri	4,631.0	3,636.0	3,386.0	12,107.0	16,481.0	11,875.0	6,509.3	39,158.0	67,022.5	51,888.0	56,552.6
Marijuana/Cannabis	TSDTF	Tri	169,456.0	46,483.0	12,756.0	80,300.0	37,367.0	78,367.0	7,359.0	5,806.0	118,989.5	12,441.0	43,532.6
Heroin	TSDTF	Tri									2,016.0	9,087.0	2,102.6
Fentanyl	TSDTF	Tri										2,000.0	0.0
LSD	TSDTF	Tri										30.0	0.0
Bathsalts	TSDTF	Tri										39.0	0.0
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Domestic Violence													
Domestic Violence-Reported Victims	IDHR	wc	511	565	363	426	433	393	579	566	NYA	NYA	NYA
Domestic Violence-Reported Victims	IDHR	IA	6,549	6,800	6,823				6,408	6,430	NYA	NYA	NYA
Domestic Violence Arrests	IDHR	wc	368	383	367	438	442	395	346	326	NYA	NYA	NYA
Domestic Violence Arrests	IDHR	IA	4,678	4,988	4,911	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4,514	NYA	NYA	NYA
Note: The Domestic Violence Reports from the Iowa Department	of Public Hed	alth have o	nly been upa	lated until .	2016.			-					
Simple Domestic Assault Arrests	NCC	DC	47	34	23	45	33	40	29	50	39	58	48
Simple Domestic Assault Arrests	NCC	NE	2,841	2,279	2,720	2,645	2,850	2,915	5,064	4,133	2,123	2,517	3,512
Aggravated Domestic Assault Arrests	NCC	DC	5	4	5	10	8	6	3	21	9	10	5
Aggravated Domestic Assault Arrests	NCC	NE	305	305	325	327	306	443	1,048	1,014	517	453	562
Note: "NYA" denotes that the data is Not Yet Available													
Divorces													
Dissolutions of Marriage	IDPH	wc	214	201	169	71	49	36	33	42	124	137	164
Dissolutions of Marriage	IDPH	IA	7,285	7,444	7,416	6,715	5,766	4,877	3,785	3,783	5,750	6,586	7,027
Dissolutions of Marriage	SDDOH	UC										36	NYA
Note: Divorce data may be incomplete, as some data was not su	bmitted to th	e State.											
	Source	Area	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Abused & Neglected Children													
Unique Abused Children/1,000	PCAI	wc	17.39	19.52	19.08	15.3	18.6	13.7	16.8	16.6	24.2	21.96	NYA
Unique Abused Children/1,000	PCAI	IA	17.78	18.00	17.56	16.1	17.27	10.45	11.43	12.2	15.6	15.83	NYA
Number of Abused/Neglected Children	IDHS	wc	481	540	464	411	494	351	447	448	654	594	631
Number of Abused/Neglected Children	IDHS	IA	12,442	12,595	11,747	11,637	12,276	7,429	8,298	8,892	11,236	11,568	11,468
Confirmed/Founded Abuse Reports	IDHS	wc	313	347	345	304	320	250	299	319	439	436	439
Confirmed/Founded Abuse Reports	IDHS	IA	8,867	8,981	9,712	9,616	8,911	5,534	6,042	6,484	8,558	8,743	8,514

Substantiated Victims of Child Abuse/Neglect DHHS NE 5,437 5,169 5,074 4,306 4,657 4,137 3,691 3,725 3,612 3,346 NYA Note: "Confirmed/Founded Abuse Reports" refers to the number of the substantiated reports, which may include multiple children per report. The Northern Service Area of Nebraska includes 25 counties in Nebraska including: Antelope, Boone, Butler, Burt, Cedar, Colfax, Cuming, Dakota, Dixon, Dodge, Hamilton, Knox, Madison, Merrick, Nance, Pierce, Platte, Polk, Saunders, Seward, Stanton, Thurston, Washington Wayne, and York.

467

492

570

499

530

517

464

472

411

NYA


428

DHHS


NSA-NE

Substantiated Child Victims in the Northern Service Area

### **Safety Highlights**





	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Cocaine (Grams)	356.0	389.0	433.0	102.0	107.0	78.0	0.0	1,026.6	18,593.2	51.0	1,362.1
Meth (Grams)	4,631.0	3,636.0	3,386.0	12,107.0	16,481.0	11,875.0	6,509.3	39,158.0	67,022.5	51,888.0	56,552.6
Cannabis (Grams)	169,456.0	46,483.0	12,756.0	80,300.0	37,367.0	78,367.0	7,359.0	5,806.0	118,989.5	12,441.0	43,532.6




	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
WC	17.4	19.5	19.1	15.3	18.6	13.7	16.8	16.6	24.2	22.0
IA	17.8	18.0	17.6	16.1	17.3	10.5	11.4	12.2	15.6	15.8


### **Safety Highlights**





	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
WC	540	464	411	494	351	447	448	654	594	631
	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019






	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
NSA-NE	428	467	492	570	499	530	517	464	472	411
	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
	_003	2010	2011	2012	2013	2017	2013	2010	2017	2010


	Quality o	f I ife							
	Source	Area	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Healthy Food Access		7.1.00							
Food Environment Index	CHR	wc	7.2	7	7.2	7.1	7.9	7.9	7.9
Food Environment Index	CHR	IA	8	8	8	7.8	8.2	8.2	8.2
Food Environment Index	CHR	DC	7.9	7.7	8	8.2	8.6	8.5	8.2
Food Environment Index	CHR	NE	8	8	8	7.6	8.1	8.1	8.0
Food Environment Index	CHR	UC	8.9	8.7	8.6	8.6	9.0	9.0	8.7
Food Environment Index	CHR	SD	7.7	7.4	7.3	7.3	6.6	6.6	6.5
Note: "Food Environment Index" is an index of factors that contribute to a healthy	food enviro	onment, 0	(worst) to 10	(best).	•	•	•		
	Source	Area	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
Exercise Opportunities									
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	wc	85%	93%	92%	92%	94%	94%	94%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	IA	75%	79%	76%	76%	83%	83%	83%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	DC	50%	84%	85%	85%	89%	88%	86%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	NE	75%	81%	80%	80%	83%	84%	84%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	UC	40%	70%	66%	66%	64%	72%	72%
Access to Exercise Opportunities	CHR	SD	62%	70%	67%	67%	72%	72%	74%
Note: "Access to Exercise Opportunities" is the % of the population with adequate	access to lo	cations fo	r physical acti	vity.					
	Source	Area	2018	2019					
Commute to Work									
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	wc	17.8	18					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	IA	19	19.1					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	DC	14.7	15.5					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	NE	18.4	18.6					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	UC		19.7					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	SD		17					
Mean Travel Time to Work in Minutes	QF	USA	26.4	26.6					
	Source	Area	2019	2020					
Parks & Recreation									
Miles of Trails	SCPR	SC	27.42	27.42					
Miles of Trails	SSCPR	SSC	18.5	19.5					
Number of Parks	SCPR	SC	67	68					
Number of Parks	SSCPR	SSC	10	11					
Public Disc Golf Courses	SCPR	SC		3					
Public Disc Golf Courses	SSCPR	SSC		1					
Public Basketball Courts	SCPR	SC		4					
Public Basketball Courts	SSCPR	SSC		2					

	Source	Area	2020			Ι			
Parks & Recreation									
Public Tennis Courts	SCPR	sc	8						
Public Tennis Courts	SSCPR	SSC	3						
Public Swimming Pools	SCPR	SC	3						
Public Swimming Pools	SSCPR	SSC	1						
Public Splash Pads	SCPR	SC	6						
Public Pickleball Courts	SCPR	sc	8						
Public Futsal Courts	SCPR	sc	1						
Note: South Sioux City will be adding a splash pad next Spring.	•	•		•	•	•			
	Source	Area	2019						
Technology Access									
Households with broadband internet access	QF	wc	75.7%						
Households with broadband internet access	QF	DC	75.3%						
Households with broadband internet access	QF	UC	79.7%						
Households with broadband internet access	QF	USA	80.4%						
	Source	Area	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	
Connections									
Social Associations	CHR	wc	14.3	14.2	14.1	14.0	13.4	13.8	
Social Associations	CHR	DC	10.0	9.5	9.6	8.7	9.3	9.4	
Social Associations	CHR	UC	16.8	14.2	16.0	13.4	13.8	11.3	
Note: "Social Associations" refers to the number of membership associations per	10,000 popu	ılation.							
	Source	Area	2020						
Worship									
Places of Worship	SCFR	SC	108						
Places of Worship	SSCL	SSC	14						
	Source	Area	2019						
Volunteerism									
Volunteer Hours for Local United Way & United Way Partner Organizations	UW	TRI	111,261						
Value of Volunteer Hours of Local United Way & United Way Partner Orgs	UW	TRI	\$2,829,367						
Individuals Who Completed Volunteer Hours Through Volunteer Siouxland	VS	TRI	1,405						
Community Policing									
Community Policing Activities/Events	SCPD	SC	443						

# **Quality of Life Highlights**




	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
WC	7.0	7.2	7.1	7.9	7.9	7.9
IA	7.8	7.8	7.8	8.2	8.2	8.2
DC	7.7	8.0	8.2	8.6	8.5	8.2
NE	7.8	7.7	7.6	8.1	8.1	8.0
UC	8.7	8.6	8.6	9.0	9.0	8.7
SD	7.4	7.3	7.3	6.6	6.6	6.5




	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
WC	14.3	14.2	14.1	14.0	13.4	13.8
DC	10.0	9.5	9.6	8.7	9.3	9.4
UC	16.8	14.2	16.0	13.4	13.8	11.3

	COVID-19	9 in Siouxland						
	Source	Area	Feb	March	April	May	June	July
Economic Stability								
Pounds of Food Distributed by Food Bank of Siouxland	FBS	FBR	240,532	382,549	357,260	321,569	313,833	276,417
Unemployment	BLS	WC	3.2%	3.5%	10.2%	9.9%	8.1%	6.5%
Unemployment	BLS	DC	4.5%	5.6%	7.7%	5.7%	7.4%	5.3%
Unemployment	BLS	UC	3.7%	3.2%	12.3%	10.5%	7.1%	6.0%
Education								
Child Care Centers Temporarily Closed	CCR&R	WC		47	47	46	46	
Registered Home Child Care Temporarily Closed	CCR&R	WC		1	1	2	2	
Health								
COVID-19 New Cases Per Month	SFS	WC, PC, DC, UC	0	4	1,655	2,978	661	638
COVID-19 Total Confirmed Cases By End of Month	SFS	WC, PC, DC, UC	0	4	1,659	4,637	5,298	5,936
COVID-19 Total New Hospitalizations During Month	SFS	WC	0	0	50	163	55	44
COVID-19 Total Hospitalizations By End of Month	SFS	WC	0	0	50	213	268	312
COVID-19 New Deaths During Month	SFS	WC, PC, DC, UC	0	0	2	58	24	16
COVID-19 Cumulative Deaths	SFS	WC, PC, DC, UC	0	0	2	60	84	100
Safety								
Arrests	SCPD	SC	294	253	186	216	238	279
Domestic Assaults	SCPD	SC	25	28	34	44	28	45
	Source	Area	Fe	bruary-Ap	oril		May-July	
Arrests	SSCPD	SSC		109			76	
Domestic Assaults	SSCPD	SSC	16 14			14		

### **COVID-19** in Siouxland



	Feb	March	April	May	June	July
WC	3.2%	3.5%	10.2%	9.9%	8.1%	6.5%
DC	4.5%	5.6%	7.7%	5.7%	7.4%	5.3%
UC	3.7%	3.2%	12.3%	10.5%	7.1%	6.0%



	Feb	March	April	May	June	July	
Siouxland	0	4	1,659	4,637	5,298	5,936	

	Source	s & Areas	Key
Symbol	Source	Symbol	Source
AHR	America's Health Rankings	SCYS	Siouxland CARES Youth Survey
ATLAS	Centers for Disease Control Atlas Plus	SDDE	South Dakota Department of Education
BLS	Bureau of Labor Statistics	SDDOH	South Dakota Department of Health
CARES	Siouxland CARES Compliance Check Data	SFS	Source For Siouxland Collection from Health Departments
CCR&R	Child Care Resource & Referral of Northwest Iowa	SL	Unity Point Health-Saint Luke's
CDC	Centers for Disease Control Vital Statistics	SSCCS	South Sioux City Community Schools
CHR	County Health Rankings & Roadmaps	SSCL	South Sioux City Library
DHHS	Department of Health and Human Services	SSCPD	South Sioux City Police Department
ERS	USDA Economics Research Service	SSCPR	South Sioux City Parks and Recreation
FBS	Food Bank of Siouxland	TSDTF	Tri-State Drug Task Force
IABD	Iowa Alcoholic Beverages Division	UW	United Way of Siouxland
ICA	Institute For Community Alliances	VS	Volunteer Siouxland
IDE	Iowa Department of Education	WCDHR	Woodbury County Detention Hold Report
IDHR	Iowa Department of Human Rights		
IDHS	Iowa Department of Human Services	Symbol	Area
IKC	Iowa Kids Count	DC	Dakota County
IYS	Iowa Youth Survey	DV	Dakota Valley School District
KCDS	Kids Count Data Center	FBR	Food Bank Region
KCSD	Kids Count South Dakota	IA	Iowa
MO	MercyOne	MWR	Bureau of Labor Statistics Midwest Region
NIH	National Institute of Health	NE	Nebraska
NCC	Nebraska Crime Commission	NSC	North Sioux City
NCES	National Center for Educational Statistics	NSE-NE	DHHS Nebraska Northern Service Area
NDE	Nebraska Department of Education	NWAEA	Northwest Area Education Agency Service Area
NEP	Nebraska Education Profile	PC	Plymouth County
NKC	Nebraska Kids Count	SC	Sioux City
PCAI	Prevent Child Abuse Iowa	SCCSD	Sioux City Community School District
QF	Census Quick Facts	SD	South Dakota
SAIPE	Census Small Area Income & Poverty Estimate	SSC	South Sioux City
SCCSD	Sioux City Community School District	SSCCS	South Sioux City Community Schools
SCFR	Sioux City Fire Rescue	TRI	Siouxland Tri-State Area
SCHA	Sioux City Housing Authority	UC	Union County
SCPD	Sioux City Police Department	USA	United States
SCPR	Sioux City Parks and Recreation	WC	Woodbury County

### **2020 Leadership Team & Funding Partners**

#### **Partners**

Source For Siouxland is comprised of a Leadership Team that oversees the management of the initiative and Community Planning Partners who annually sign a Statement of Understanding agreeing to participate in a data-driven planning process.

#### **Leadership Team**

- Barbara Aalfs & Linda Phillips, Source For Siouxland
- Britney Book & Rachel Lundgren, Siouxland CARES (Contractor)
- Amy Bloch, Catholic Charities
- Deb Burnight, Facilitated Resources
- Erica DeLeon, One Siouxland (Contractor)
- JoAnn Gieselman, Growing Community Connections
- Kevin Grieme & Michelle Lewis, Siouxland District Health Department
- Jeff Hackett, Community Representative
- Heather Hennings & Terrie Binneboese, United Way of Siouxland (Fiscal Agent)
- Heidi Kammer-Hodge, Consulting By Design
- Matt Ohman, Siouxland Human Investment Partnership
- Liz Rembold, Briar Cliff University
- Katie Roberts, Siouxland Community Foundation

#### Thank you to our current Funding Partners:

- Catholic Charities
- Consulting By Design
- Helios Foundation
- Siouxland Community Foundation
- Siouxland Human Investment Partnership (SHIP)
- United Way of Siouxland (Fiscal Agent)

#### SOURCE FOR SIOUXLAND COMMUNITY PARTNERS

A Better Way Therapy

American Red Cross-Siouxland Area Chapter

Beyond the Bell

Big Brothers Big Sisters of Siouxland Bishop Heelan Catholic High School

Boy Scouts of America-Mid America Council

Boys and Girls Clubs of Siouxland

Boys and Girls Home and Family Services

Boys Town Iowa Briar Cliff University

Building Blocks for Community Enrichment Bullying Prevention Community Coalition

Burnight Facilitated Resources Cardinal Christmas Baskets

Catholic Charities Center For Siouxland City of Sioux City, IA City of South Sioux City, NE

Community Action Agency of Siouxland

Consulting By Design Crittenton Center Dakota County

Dakota County Health Department Dakota Valley School District

Department of Health and Human Services

Disability Resource Center
District Six Probation, Nebraska
Equus Workforce Solutions
First Lutheran Church
First Tee Siouxland
Food Bank of Siouxland
GiGis Playhouse- Sioux City
Girls Inc. of Sioux City

Goodwill of the Great Plains
Growing Community Connections
Haven House Family Services
Healthy Siouxland Initiative
Heartland Counseling Services, Inc.
Her Health Women's Center

Her Health Women's Cen Hope Street of Siouxland Immigrant Legal Center

Iowa Department of Human Services

Iowa Poison Control Center

Iowa Vocational Rehabilitation Service

ISU Extension and Outreach Woodbury County

KidLogic Child Care & Preschool, Inc.

LAMB Theatre and School Lutheran Services in Iowa

Mary J Treglia Community House

Mary's Choice

Meet the Need Siouxland

**Mercy Housing** 

Mercy One Siouxland Medical Center

Morningside College Norm Waitt Sr. YMCA

Northeast Community College

Northeast Nebraska Area Agency on Aging

Northeast Nebraska Community Action Partnership

Northwest Area Education Agency, Iowa

One Siouxland Parent Partners

Planned Parenthood North Central States

Rosecrance Jackson Centers

SafePlace

Salem Lutheran Church Sanford Community Center

Sioux City BOOST

Sioux City Community School District Sioux City Human Rights Commission

Sioux City Lions Club

Sioux City Mayor's Youth Commission

Sioux City Police Department

Siouxland CARES About Substance Abuse

Siouxland Chamber of Commerce
Siouxland Community Foundation
Siouxland Community Health Center
Siouxland Community Health of Nebraska
Siouxland District Health Department
Siouxland Human Investment Partnership

Siouxland Interstate Metropolitan Planning Council

Siouxland Mental Health Center

Siouxland Pride Alliance Siouxland Youth For Christ Sky Ranch Behavioral Services

South Sioux City Chamber of Commerce & Tourism

South Sioux City Housing Agency South Sioux City Public Library

St. Paul UMC

Stokes and Associates Psychological and Forensic Services

Sugal Consulting, LLC
The Micah Project
The Owens Companies
The Salvation Army

Third Judicial District Department of Correctional Services

Third Judicial District Juvenile Court Services

Transitional Services of Iowa United Way of Siouxland UnityPoint Health- St. Luke's

Waitt Institute for Violence Prevention Western Iowa Tech Community College

Women Aware

Woodbury County Board of Supervisors

Woodbury County Extension
Woodbury County Social Services

Volunteer Siouxland